

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

STACY CHARLES COMER,

Defendant.

Case No. CR05-5747 RBL

ORDER

THIS MATTER comes on before the above-entitled Court upon Defendant Comer's Motion for an Order Requiring the Government to Produce Complaining Witness [Dkt. #59].

Having considered the entirety of the records and file herein, the Court finds and rules as follows:

Defendant Stacy Charles Comer is charged by way of a Superseding Indictment along with two co-defendants with Retaliating Against a Witness in Violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1513(b)(2) and 2. Comer has moved for an order requiring the government to produce the complaining witness, Curtis Wild, for an interview by his counsel. According to the motion and the government's response, Mr. Wild was initially agreeable to being interviewed, however, he has since changed his position based upon his contact with a co-defendant's investigator and now declines to be interviewed by the defense. Witnesses have the right to refuse to be interviewed, *United States v. Black*, 767 F.2d 1334, 1337-38 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 434 U.S. 954 (1977), and so long as the government has not interfered with the defendant's access to the witness, this Court may not order the witness to consent to a defense interview. *Id.* It is therefore

1 **ORDERED** that Defendant Comer's Motion for an Order Requiring the Government to Produce
2 Complaining Witness [Dkt. #59] is **DENIED**.

3 The Clerk shall send uncertified copies of this order to all counsel of record, and to any party appearing
4 pro se.

5 Dated this 21st day of February, 2006.

6
7 
8 RONALD B. LEIGHTON
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28